

**Jürgen Eichhorn, Greifswald**

## **Hilbert diffeomorphism groups and their geometry for open manifolds**

### **Abstract**

Let  $M^n$  be closed,  $\mathcal{D}(M) \equiv \text{Diff}(M)$  the group of smooth diffeomorphisms. For many applications in PDE theory one needs a completed version  $\mathcal{D}^{p,r}(M)$ ,  $1 \leq p, r$  Sobolev index. For  $r > \frac{n}{p}$  and  $M^n$  closed, this easily can be done. One defines  $\mathcal{D}^{p,r}$  by means of a finite cover  $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m}$  and imposes Euclidean Sobolev conditions,

$$\psi_\beta \circ f \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is in } W^{p,r}(\varphi(U), \mathbb{R}^n).$$

It easily follows that  $\mathcal{D}^{p,r}$  is independent of the choice of the finite cover. For open manifolds, this is totally wrong. We defined for open manifolds  $(M^n, g)$  of bounded geometry completed diffeomorphism groups  $\mathcal{D}^{p,r}(M^n, g)$  satisfying the following conditions

- 1)  $\mathcal{D}^{p,r}$  is a Banach manifold, for  $p = 2$  it is a Hilbert manifold,
- 2) it depends only on the component  $\text{comp}(g) \subset \mathcal{M}^{p,r}(I, B_k)$ , the completed space of metrics of bounded geometry,
- 3) if  $(M^n, g)$  is compact then our definition coincides with all other definitions and is completely independent of  $g$ .

In a second step, we construct Hilbert submanifolds of volume (element) preserving, symplectic, contact and gauge diffeomorphisms, define for them a (weak) Riemannian structure and calculate their curvature. All this has many applications in mathematical physics.