

# DICHOTOMIES FOR LORENTZ SPACES

SZYMON GŁĄB, FILIP STROBIN, AND CHAN WOO YANG

ABSTRACT. Assume that  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$  are Lorentz spaces. This note is devoted to answering the question what is the size of the set

$$E := \{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n} : f_1 \cdots f_n \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}\}.$$

We prove the following dichotomy: either  $E = \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$  or  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -porous in  $\mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$ , provided  $\frac{1}{p} \neq \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n}$ . In general case we obtain that either  $E = \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$  or  $E$  is meager.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This article is aimed at studying a size of the set of all tuples  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  from the product of  $n$  Lorentz spaces such that their product  $f_1 \cdots f_n$  is in another Lorentz space. This study is originated from the paper of Balcerzak and Wachowicz [BW] where it was proved that the set

$$\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{L}^1[0, 1] \times \mathbf{L}^1[0, 1] : fg \in \mathbf{L}^1[0, 1]\}$$

is a meager subset of the product  $\mathbf{L}^1[0, 1] \times \mathbf{L}^1[0, 1]$ . It has been generalized by Jachymski [J] who proved the following condition are equivalent when  $p \geq 1$  and  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is any  $\sigma$ -finite measure space:

- (i)  $\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{L}^p(X) \times \mathbf{L}^p(X) : fg \in \mathbf{L}^p(X)\}$  is meager;
- (ii)  $\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{L}^p(X) \times \mathbf{L}^p(X) : fg \in \mathbf{L}^p(X)\} \neq \mathbf{L}^p(X) \times \mathbf{L}^p(X)$ ;
- (iii)  $\inf\{\mu(A) : \mu(A) > 0\} = 0$ .

This result has been further generalized by Głab and Strobin [GS]. Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be any measure space,  $p_1, \dots, p_n, p \in (0, \infty]$  and  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} < \infty$  (i.e., at least one of the  $p_i$ 's is finite). They proved that the following conditions are equivalent (we define  $\frac{1}{\infty} := 0$ ):

- (i)  $\{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1}(X) \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n}(X) : f_1 \cdots f_n \in \mathbf{L}^p(X)\}$  is  $\sigma$ - $\alpha$ -lower porous for some  $\alpha > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1}(X) \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n}(X) : f_1 \cdots f_n \in \mathbf{L}^p(X)\} \neq \mathbf{L}^{p_1}(X) \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n}(X)$ ;
- (iii) One of the following conditions holds:
  - \*  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_1} > \frac{1}{p}$  and  $\inf\{\mu(A) : \mu(A) > 0\} = 0$ ;
  - \*  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_1} < \frac{1}{p}$  and  $\sup\{\mu(A) : \mu(A) < \infty\} = \infty$ .

In this paper we will strengthen the above result. The main idea is that if  $p \in (0, \infty]$ , then  $\mathbf{L}^p(X)$  is a particular example of the so called Lorentz space. Hence it is interesting if the above result

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 46E30 Secondary: 46G99.

*Key words and phrases*. Lorentz space,  $\mathbf{L}^p$ -space, porosity, Baire Category.

can be extended by considering Lorentz spaces instead of  $\mathbf{L}^p(X)$  spaces. Our main reference will be Grafakos' monograph [G].

## 2. NOTATION AND BASIC FACTS

Let  $X$  be a metric space.  $B(x, R)$  stands for the ball with a radius  $R$  centered at a point  $x$ . Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . We say that  $M \subset X$  is  $\alpha$ -lower porous [Z1], if

$$\forall x \in M \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma(x, M, R)}{R} \geq \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

where

$$\gamma(x, M, R) = \sup\{r \geq 0 : \exists z \in X \ B(z, r) \subset B(x, R) \setminus M\}.$$

Clearly,  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -lower porous iff

$$\forall x \in M \quad \forall \beta \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{2}) \quad \exists R_0 > 0 \quad \forall R \in (0, R_0) \quad \exists z \in X \ B(z, \beta R) \subset B(x, R) \setminus M.$$

The set is  $\sigma$ - $\alpha$ -lower porous if it is a countable union of  $\alpha$ -lower porous sets. Note that a  $\sigma$ - $\alpha$ -lower porous set is meager, and the notion of  $\sigma$ -lower porosity is essentially stronger than that of meagerness. Note that the sets investigated in this paper will be  $\alpha$ -porous in some stronger sense, namely,

$$\forall x \in X \quad \forall \beta \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{2}) \quad \forall R > 0 \quad \exists z \in X \ B(z, \beta R) \subset B(x, R) \setminus M.$$

However, we do not want to define any new notion of porosity, so in the formulations of theorems we will deal only with  $\alpha$ -lower porosity. For more information on porosity, we refer the reader to survey papers [Z1] and [Z2].

Assume that  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space, and let  $p, q \in (0, \infty]$  be such that if  $p = \infty$ , then also  $q = \infty$ . A Lorentz space  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  ( $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  in short) is the space of all measurable functions (more formally, of all equivalence classes of measurable functions equal  $\mu$ -a.e.) with a finite quasinorm given by

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \begin{cases} \left( \int_0^\infty p \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{if } q < \infty; \\ \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\})^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } p < \infty \text{ and } q = \infty; \\ \text{supess } |f|, & \text{if } p = q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Note that the presented definition of quasinorm on  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  is equivalent to the original one (cf. [G]) and that  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  is linear space, but the quasinorm on  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  is not usually a norm since the triangle inequality does not hold for all quasinorms  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ . However, it is always  $c_{p,q}$ -subadditive for some  $c_{p,q} > 0$ .

If  $p \in (0, \infty]$ , then  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the standard  $\mathbf{L}^p$ -norm:

$$\|\cdot\|_p := \begin{cases} \int_X |f|^p d\mu, & \text{if } p \in (0, 1); \\ \left( \int_X |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } p \in [1, \infty); \\ \text{supess } |f|, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

The following basic facts about Lorentz spaces are known and can be easily found in [G]. In the sequel we will use them, sometimes without emphasizing it. If  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space, then we define  $\Sigma_+ := \{A \in \Sigma : 0 < \mu(A) < \infty\}$ , and if  $A \in \Sigma$ , then by  $\chi_A$  we denote the characteristic function of the set  $A$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Assume that  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space. The following conditions hold:*

(i) *For any  $p \in (0, \infty]$ ,*

$$\|\cdot\|_{p,p} = \begin{cases} \|\cdot\|_p, & \text{if } p \in [1, \infty]; \\ (\|\cdot\|_p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } p \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If  $p \in (0, \infty)$  and  $q \leq q' \leq \infty$ , then  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q} \subset \mathbf{L}^{p,q'}$ . In particular, for every  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq M\lambda^{-p}$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ ;*

(iii) *If  $p, r \in (0, \infty)$  and  $q \in (0, \infty]$ , then  $\| |f|^r \|_{p,q} = (\|f\|_{pr,qr})^r$  for every  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$ ;*

(iv) *If  $p \in (0, \infty)$  and  $q \in (0, \infty]$ , then there exists  $D_{p,q} > 0$  such that for every  $A \in \Sigma_+$ ,  $\|\chi_A\|_{p,q} = D_{p,q}\mu(A)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .*

(v) *If  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  is any Lorentz space, then for every  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  and measurable function  $g$ , if for every  $x \in X$ ,  $|g(x)| \leq |f(x)|$ , then  $g \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  and  $\|g\|_{p,q} \leq \|f\|_{p,q}$ ;*

(vi) *If  $p < \infty$  and  $\Sigma_+ = \emptyset$ , then for every  $q \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q} = \{0\}$ ;*

(vii) *If  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  is a Lorentz space,  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  and  $\alpha$  is any real, then  $\|\alpha f\|_{p,q} = |\alpha| \|f\|_{p,q}$ .*

By (i), every  $\mathbf{L}^p$  space is a particular example of Lorentz space.

Assume now that  $\Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$ . It is well known, that every Lorentz space  $L^{p,q}$  is metrizable by some metric  $d_{p,q}$ , which satisfies the following condition ( $K_{p,q}, r_{p,q} > 0$  are some constants which depend on  $p$  and  $q$ ):

$$(1) \quad (\|f - g\|_{p,q})^{r_{p,q}} \leq d_{p,q}(f, g) \leq K_{p,q} (\|f - g\|_{p,q})^{r_{p,q}}.$$

Indeed, if  $p = q$ , then we set  $d_{p,p}(f, g) := \|f - g\|_p$ , and in the other cases we can make use of [G, Exercises 1.4.3 and 1.1.12].

Since each Lorentz space is quasi-Banach (i.e., every Cauchy sequence with respect to a quasinorm, is convergent), (1) implies that the metrics  $d_{p,q}$  are complete. Hence we can consider  $\mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  as a complete metric space with the supremum metric  $d_{\max}$ :

$$d_{\max}((f_1, \dots, f_n), (g_1, \dots, g_n)) := \max\{d_{p_1, q_1}(f_1, g_1), \dots, d_{p_n, q_n}(f_n, g_n)\}.$$

In particular, if  $p_i = q_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then we have:

$$d_{\max}((f_1, \dots, f_n), (g_1, \dots, g_n)) := \max\{\|f_1 - g_1\|_{p_1}, \dots, \|f_n - g_n\|_{p_n}\}.$$

## 3. RESULTS

We will assume that we work with some fixed measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Again, we denote  $\Sigma_+ := \{A \in \Sigma : 0 < \mu(A) < \infty\}$ .

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (we allow  $n$  to be 1) and  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$  are Lorentz spaces, then we define the set

$$E_{p,q}^{(p_1,q_1,\dots,p_n,q_n)} := \{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n} : f_1 \cdots f_n \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}\}.$$

We also set

$$E_p^{(p_1,\dots,p_n)} := E_{p,p}^{(p_1,p_1,\dots,p_n,p_n)}$$

We will first deal with the trivial case: when  $\Sigma_+ = \emptyset$  or  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \infty$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$  be Lorentz spaces. If  $\Sigma_+ = \emptyset$  or  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \infty$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1,q_1,\dots,p_n,q_n)}$  is 1-lower porous subset of  $\mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$ ;
- (ii)  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1,q_1,\dots,p_n,q_n)} \neq \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$ ;
- (iii)  $\mu(X) = \infty$  and  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \infty$  and  $p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* We first show the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{L}^\infty$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . For any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , set

$$\tilde{f}_i(x) := \begin{cases} f_i(x) + \frac{1}{2}R, & \text{if } f_i(x) \geq 0; \\ f_i(x) - \frac{1}{2}R, & \text{if } f_i(x) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\|f - \tilde{f}_i\|_\infty = \frac{1}{2}R$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be such that  $\|a_i - \tilde{f}_i\|_\infty < \alpha R$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ , we have  $|a_i(x)| \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \alpha)R$ , so for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ ,

$$|a_1(x) \cdots a_n(x)| \geq \left(R \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right)\right)^n.$$

Hence  $\|a_1 \cdots a_n\|_{p,q} = \infty$ .

The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is trivial.

Assume now that  $\mu(X) < \infty$  or  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} < \infty$  or  $p < \infty$ . Since  $\Sigma_+ = \emptyset$  or  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \infty$ , one of the following conditions holds:

- (a1)  $\mu(X) = 0$ ;
- (a2)  $0 < \mu(X) < \infty$  and  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \infty$ ;
- (a3)  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} < \infty$  and  $\Sigma_+ = \emptyset$ ;
- (a4)  $p = \infty$  and  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \infty$ .

In each case, the equality  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1,q_1,\dots,p_n,q_n)} = \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$  is obvious. Hence we get (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).  $\square$

Now we will deal with more complicated cases.

In the first result we state the condition, under which  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1,q_1,\dots,p_n,q_n)} = \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$  be Lorentz spaces, and assume that  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} < \infty$  and  $\Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$ . If one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a)  $\inf\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} > 0$  and  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} > \frac{1}{p}$ ;
- (b)  $\sup\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} < \frac{1}{p}$ .

then  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1,q_1,\dots,p_n,q_n)} = \mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$ .

Before we start the proof of Proposition 3.2, we need some lemmas. The first one is an easy consequence of [G, Exercise 1.1.15] and we skip its proof.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p, p_1, \dots, p_n \in (0, \infty]$  be with  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} = \frac{1}{p}$ . Then  $E_{p,\infty}^{(p_1,\infty,\dots,p_n,\infty)} = \mathbf{L}^{p_1,\infty} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,\infty}$ .*

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume that  $\Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$ . The following conditions hold:*

- (I) *If  $\inf\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} > 0$ , then every element of any Lorentz space is  $\mu$ -a.e. bounded;*
- (II) *If  $\sup\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} < \infty$ , then there exists  $A \in \Sigma_+$  such that for every  $p \in (0, \infty)$  and  $q \in (0, \infty]$ , the projection  $f \rightarrow f|_A$  is an isometry between Lorentz spaces  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}(A, \Sigma|_A, \mu|_A)$ .*

*Proof.* We first show (I). Let  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  be a Lorentz space. If  $p = q = \infty$ , the thesis holds by the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Hence assume that  $p < \infty$  and let  $f \in L^{p,q}$ . By Proposition 2.1 (ii), there exists  $M > 0$  such that for every  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq M\lambda^{-p}.$$

Hence  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) = 0$ , so for some  $\lambda_0 > 0$ , we get  $\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda_0\}) = 0$ , which proves (I).

Now we show (II). Set  $K := \sup\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} < \infty$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $A_n \in \Sigma_+$  with  $K \geq \mu(A_n) \geq K - \frac{1}{n}$ . Set  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . Then  $\mu(A) = K$  and for any measurable  $D \subset X \setminus A$ , we have that either  $\mu(D) = 0$  or  $\mu(D) = \infty$ . Hence if  $f$  is an element of any Lorentz space  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ , then  $\mu(\{x \in X \setminus A : |f(x)| > 0\}) = 0$ . This easily gives (II).  $\square$

The following lemma seems to be known, but we will give a proof.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Assume that  $\Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$  and  $p, p', q \in (0, \infty]$ . If one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i)  $\inf\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} > 0$  and  $\frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{p'}$ ;
- (ii)  $\sup\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} < \infty$  and  $0 < \frac{1}{p'} < \frac{1}{p}$ ,

then  $\mathbf{L}^{p',\infty} \subset \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that (i) holds and let  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p',\infty}$ . By Lemma 3.4 (I), there exists  $S < \infty$  such that  $|f(x)| < S$  for  $\mu$ -almost  $x \in X$ . Hence if  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q} = \mathbf{L}^\infty$ , then obviously  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$ . Thus assume that

$p < \infty$ . By Proposition 2.1 (ii), we may also assume that  $q < \infty$ .

Since  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p',\infty}$ , there exists  $M > 0$  such that for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq M\lambda^{-p'},$$

and since  $|f(x)| < S$  for  $\mu$ -almost  $x \in X$ , for any  $\lambda \geq S$  we have  $\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) = 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda &= \int_0^S \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda \leq \\ &\int_0^S M^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{-\frac{p'q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda = \int_0^S M^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q(1-\frac{p'}{p})-1} d\lambda < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$  and the result follows.

Assume now that condition (ii) holds. By Proposition 2.1 (ii), we may assume that  $q < \infty$ . By Lemma 3.4 (II), we may assume that  $K := \mu(X) < \infty$ . Now let  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p',\infty}$ . Then there exists  $M > 0$  such that for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq M\lambda^{-p'}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda &= \\ \int_0^1 \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda + \int_1^\infty \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda &\leq \\ \int_0^1 K^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda + \int_1^\infty M^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{-\frac{p'q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda &= \\ \int_0^1 K^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda + \int_1^\infty M^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q(1-\frac{p'}{p})-1} d\lambda &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$ . □

We are ready to give a proof of Proposition 3.2

*Proof.* (of Proposition 3.2) Let  $p' \in (0, \infty)$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} = \frac{1}{p'}$ , and let  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$ . By Proposition 2.1 (ii),  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, \infty} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, \infty}$ , and by Lemma 3.3, we get  $f_1 \cdots f_n \in \mathbf{L}^{p', \infty}$ . Hence and by Lemma 3.5,  $f_1 \cdots f_n \in \mathbf{L}^{p,q}$ , so the result follows. □

The next theorem deals with the case when  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)}$  is small. Recall that we consider the product of Lorentz spaces as a metric space with a metric  $d_{\max}$  defined in the previous section, and in the case of  $\mathbf{L}^{p_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n}$ , we have  $d_{\max}((f_1, \dots, f_n), (g_1, \dots, g_n)) = \max\{\|f_1 - g_1\|_{p_1}, \dots, \|f_n - g_n\|_{p_n}\}$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{L}^{p,q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  be Lorentz spaces. Assume that  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} < \infty$  and  $\Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$ . If one of the following conditions holds*

- (i)  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} > \frac{1}{p}$  and  $\inf\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} < \frac{1}{p}$  and  $\sup\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} = \infty$ ,

then the set  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1,q_1,\dots,p_n,q_n)}$  is  $\sigma$ - $\alpha$ -lower porous in  $\mathbf{L}^{p_1,q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n,q_n}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

If additionally  $q = p$  and  $q_i = p_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then we can take  $\alpha = \frac{2}{m+1}$ , where  $m$  is the number of  $i$ 's for which  $p_i < \infty$ .

Note that the last statement of the above result, together with part (i) of Proposition 3.1, give the thesis of the main result of [GS] ([GS, Theorem 6]). Before we prove the result, we will present some lemmas. Note that they are refinements of [GS, Lemmas 4 and 5].

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A, A_1, \dots, A_n$  be measurable sets and  $s_1, \dots, s_n \geq 0$  be such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \leq 1$ . If  $A_i \subset A$  and  $\mu(A_i) > (1 - s_i)\mu(A)$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then*

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) > 0.$$

*Proof.* Using the induction principle, it is easy to show that

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i\right) > \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i\right)\mu(A) \quad \text{for any } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, for  $k = n$ , we get that  $\mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i) > 0$ .  $\square$

Recall (cf. Proposition 2.1 (iv)) that if  $q \in (0, \infty]$  and  $p < \infty$ , then for some  $D_{p,q} > 0$ ,  $\|\chi_A\|_{p,q} = D_{p,q}\mu(A)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  for every  $A \in \Sigma_+$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Assume that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in (0, \infty]$  and let  $A \in \Sigma_+$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, \dots, g_n$  are such that  $|g_i(x)| \geq 1$  for  $x \in A$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\|(f_i - g_i)\chi_A\|_{p_i, q_i} \leq s_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  for some  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ , then*

$$\|f_1 \cdots f_n \chi_A\|_{p,q} \geq D_{p,q} C^n \left( \mu(A) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{s_i}{D_{p_i, q_i} (1-C)} \right)^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for any  $C \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in (0, \infty)$  and  $q \in (0, \infty]$ , provided that  $\mu(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{s_i}{D_{p_i, q_i} (1-C)} \right)^{p_i}$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, let  $D, D_1, \dots, D_n$  stand for  $D_{p,q}, D_{p_1, q_1}, \dots, D_{p_n, q_n}$ , respectively. For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we define the sets  $A_i := \{x \in A : |f_i(x)| < C|g_i(x)|\}$ . Now let  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then for every  $x \in A_i$ ,

$$|f_i(x) - g_i(x)| \geq |g_i(x)| - |f_i(x)| \geq (1-C)|g_i(x)| \geq 1-C.$$

Hence

$$s_i \geq \|(f_i - g_i)\chi_A\|_{p_i, q_i} \geq \|(1-C)\chi_{A_i}\|_{p_i, q_i} = D_i(1-C)\mu(A_i)^{\frac{1}{p_i}},$$

and therefore

$$\mu(A_i) \leq \left( \frac{s_i}{D_i(1-C)} \right)^{p_i}.$$

By combining this with the fact that for any  $x \in A \setminus A_i$ ,  $|f_i(x)| \geq C$ , we obtain

$$\|f_1 \cdots f_n \chi_A\|_{p,q} \geq \|f_1 \cdots f_n \chi_{A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i}\|_{p,q} \geq \|C^n \chi_{A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i}\|_{p,q} \geq$$

$$DC^n \left( \mu(A) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq DC^n \left( \mu(A) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{s_i}{D_i(1-C)} \right)^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

□

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of Theorem 3.6. If  $u > 0$ , then we set

$$(2) \quad E_u := \{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n} : \|f_1 \cdots f_n\|_{p, q} \leq u\}.$$

If  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p, q}$  and  $r > 0$ , then we denote  $B_{p, q}(f, r) := \{g \in \mathbf{L}^{p, q} : \|f - g\|_{p, q} < r\}$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{L}^{p, q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  be Lorentz spaces and let the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 be satisfied. Assume that for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$  with  $m + k = n$ , we have  $p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$  and  $p_{m+1} = \dots = p_{m+k} = \infty$ . Let  $r_i \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\delta_i \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_i} \right)^{\frac{p_i}{r_i}} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{m+1} = \dots = r_n = 1.$$

For every  $u > 0$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$ , there exists  $(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  such that

$$\left( \|f_i - \tilde{f}_i\|_{p_i, q_i} \right)^{r_i} = (1 - \delta_i)R \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$B_{p_1, q_1} \left( \tilde{f}_1, (\delta_1 R)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \right) \times \dots \times B_{p_n, q_n} \left( \tilde{f}_n, (\delta_n R)^{\frac{1}{r_n}} \right) \cap E_u = \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, we will write  $\|\cdot\|_i$  and  $D_i$  instead of  $\|\cdot\|_{p_i, q_i}$  and  $D_{p_i, q_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , respectively, and  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $D$  instead of  $\|\cdot\|_{p, q}$  and  $D_{p, q}$ , respectively.

Let  $u > 0$ . As we assumed,  $p_i < \infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $p_i = \infty$  for  $i = m + 1, \dots, m + k$ , for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$  with  $m + k = n$ .

Let  $(f_1, \dots, f_m, g_1, \dots, g_k) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$ ,  $R > 0$ . We can choose  $C \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \left( \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \frac{1}{(1 - C)} \right)^{p_i} < 1.$$

Now set:

$$(4) \quad G := \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (R(1 - \delta_i))^{\frac{1}{r_i}}}{\prod_{i=1}^m D_i},$$

$$(5) \quad H := \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \left( \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \frac{1}{(1 - C)} \right)^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By our assumptions ((i) or (ii)), there is a set  $A \in \Sigma_+$  such that

if  $p < \infty$ , then

$$(6) \quad \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1 - 2\delta_i) \right) GDC^m H \mu(A)^{\left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_m} \right)} > u$$



and if  $p = \infty$ , then

$$(7) \quad \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1-2\delta_i) \right) GC^m \mu(A)^{-\left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}\right)} > u.$$

Note that in the case when  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_m} < 0$ , we take the set  $A$  with an appropriate small positive measure and in the case when  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_m} > 0$ , we take the set  $A$  with an appropriate large finite measure.

Next, let  $M_1, \dots, M_m$  be such that for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$(8) \quad (\|M_i \chi_A\|_i)^{r_i} = (1 - \delta_i)R.$$

Then for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$(9) \quad \mu(A) = \left( \frac{((1 - \delta_i)R)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}}{M_i D_i} \right)^{p_i}.$$

Now, let us define  $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m, \tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_k$  as follows.

For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we set

$$\tilde{f}_i(x) := \begin{cases} f_i(x) + M_i, & x \in A \text{ and } f_i(x) \geq 0; \\ f_i(x) - M_i, & x \in A \text{ and } f_i(x) < 0; \\ f_i(x), & x \notin A, \end{cases}$$

and for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , we set

$$\tilde{g}_i(x) := \begin{cases} g_i(x) + (1 - \delta_{m+i})R, & \text{if } g_i(x) \geq 0; \\ g_i(x) - (1 - \delta_{m+i})R, & \text{if } g_i(x) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Using (8), we obtain for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ :

$$\left( \|\tilde{f}_i - f_i\|_i \right)^{r_i} = (\|M_i \chi_A\|_i)^{r_i} \stackrel{(8)}{=} (1 - \delta_i)R$$

and similarly for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$  (recall that  $r_i = 1$  for  $i = m + 1, \dots, n$ ),

$$\|\tilde{g}_i - g_i\|_i = (1 - \delta_{m+i})R.$$

Now let

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_k) \in B_{p_1, q_1} \left( \tilde{f}_1, (\delta_1 R)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \right) \times \dots \times B_{p_n, q_n} \left( \tilde{g}_k, (\delta_n R)^{\frac{1}{r_n}} \right).$$

Clearly, since for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $|\tilde{g}_i(x)| \geq (1 - \delta_{m+i})R$ , then for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ ,

$$(10) \quad |b_i(x)| \geq (1 - 2\delta_{m+i})R.$$

Consider two cases:

*Case 1.*  $p < \infty$ . For any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have

$$(11) \quad (\delta_i R)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \geq \|a_i - \tilde{f}_i\|_i \geq \left\| \left( a_i - \tilde{f}_i \right) \chi_A \right\|_i = M_i \left\| \left( \frac{a_i}{M_i} - \frac{\tilde{f}_i}{M_i} \right) \chi_A \right\|_i$$

and also, by (9),

$$(12) \quad \left( \frac{(R\delta_i)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}}{M_i D_i (1-C)} \right)^{p_i} = \mu(A) \left( \left( \frac{\delta_i}{1-\delta_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \frac{1}{(1-C)} \right)^{p_i}$$

and

$$(13) \quad M_i \mu(A)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} D_i = ((1-\delta_i)R)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}.$$

Hence, by (4) – (6), (10) – (13) and Lemma 3.8 (used for  $g_i := \frac{\tilde{f}_i}{M_i}$ ,  $f_i := \frac{a_i}{M_i}$  and  $s_i := \frac{(\delta_i R)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}}{M_i}$ ), we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \|a_1 \cdots a_m \cdot b_1 \cdots b_k\| \geq \|a_1 \cdots a_m \cdot b_1 \cdots b_k \chi_A\| \stackrel{(10)}{\geq} \\ & \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1-2\delta_i) \right) \|a_1 \cdots a_m \chi_A\| = \\ & \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1-2\delta_i) \right) M_1 \cdots M_m \left\| \frac{a_1}{M_1} \cdots \frac{a_m}{M_m} \chi_A \right\| \stackrel{L\ 3.8, (11)}{\geq} \\ & \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1-2\delta_i) \right) M_1 \cdots M_m DC^m \left( \mu(A) - \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{(\delta_i R)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}}{M_i D_i (1-C)} \right)^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \stackrel{(12)}{=} \\ & \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1-2\delta_i) \right) M_1 \cdots M_m DC^m \left( \mu(A) - \sum_{i=1}^m \mu(A) \left( \left( \frac{\delta_i}{1-\delta_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \frac{1}{(1-C)} \right)^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \stackrel{(5)}{=} \\ & \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1-2\delta_i) \right) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m M_i \mu(A)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} D_i}{\prod_{i=1}^m D_i} DC^m \mu(A)^{\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \cdots - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)} H \stackrel{(4), (13)}{=} \\ & \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1-2\delta_i) \right) GDC^m \mu(A)^{\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \cdots - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)} H \stackrel{(6)}{>} u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(a_1, \dots, b_k) \notin E_u$ .

*Case 2.*  $p = \infty$ . This case is possible only if  $\inf\{\mu(E) : E \in \Sigma_+\} = 0$ . For any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we define:

$$A_i^1 := \{x \in A : |a_i(x)| \geq CM_i\}, \quad A_i^2 := A \setminus A_i^1.$$

Then for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have

$$(\delta_i R)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} > \|a_i - \tilde{f}_i\|_i \geq \left\| (a_i - \tilde{f}_i) \chi_{A_i^2} \right\|_i \geq \|(M_i(1-C)) \chi_{A_i^2}\|_i = D_i M_i (1-C) \mu(A_i^2)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

Hence by (12) (which works in this case), for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\mu(A_i^2) < \left( \frac{(\delta_i R)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}}{D_i M_i (1-C)} \right)^{p_i} \stackrel{(12)}{=} \mu(A) \left( \left( \frac{\delta_i}{1-\delta_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \frac{1}{(1-C)} \right)^{p_i}.$$

Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\mu(A_i^1) = \mu(A) - \mu(A_i^2) > \mu(A) \left( 1 - \left( \left( \frac{\delta_i}{1-\delta_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \frac{1}{(1-C)} \right)^{p_i} \right).$$

By Lemma 3.7 and (3), we obtain that  $\mu(A_1^1 \cap \dots \cap A_m^1) > 0$ . Also, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in A_1^1 \cap \dots \cap A_m^1$ , we make use of (4), (7), (10) and (13) (which, clearly, works in this case) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_1(x) \cdots a_m(x) \cdot b_1(x) \cdots b_k(x)| &\stackrel{(10)}{\geq} C^m M_1 \cdots M_m \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1-2\delta_i) \right) \stackrel{(4),(13)}{=} \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=m+1}^n R(1-2\delta_i) \right) C^m G\mu(A)^{-\left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}\right)} \stackrel{(7)}{>} u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|a_1 \cdots a_m \cdot b_1 \cdots b_k\| > u.$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

We are ready to give a proof of Theorem 3.6

*Proof.* (of Theorem 3.6) For simplicity, we will write  $\|\cdot\|_i$  and  $d_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  instead of  $\|\cdot\|_{p_i, q_i}$  and  $d_{p_i, q_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , respectively.

By (1), for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there exist  $K_i, r_i > 0$  such that for every  $f, g \in \mathbf{L}^{p_i, q_i}$ ,

$$(14) \quad (\|f - g\|_i)^{r_i} \leq d_i(f, g) \leq K_i (\|f - g\|_i)^{r_i},$$

and if  $p_i = \infty$ , then  $K_i = r_i = 1$ .

Since  $E_{p, q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)} = \bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{N}} E_u$  (where each  $E_u$  is defined as in (2)), we have to show that there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that for each  $u > 0$ , the set  $E_u$  is  $\alpha$ -lower porous.

Let  $u > 0$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $p_i < \infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $p_i = \infty$  for  $i = m+1, \dots, m+k$ , where  $m+k = n$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$ .

Now let  $K := \max\{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$  and  $\lambda > 0$  be such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right)^{\frac{p_i}{r_i}} = 1.$$

It is easy to see that  $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Take  $\delta \in (0, \lambda)$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \right)^{\frac{p_i}{r_i}} < 1.$$

Now take  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  and  $R > 0$ . Let  $(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  be as in Lemma 3.9, chosen for  $r_1, \dots, r_n, u, (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ ,  $R' := \frac{R}{K}$  and  $\delta_i := \delta$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

By (14) and Lemma 3.9, for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have that

$$d_i(f_i, \tilde{f}_i) \stackrel{(14)}{\leq} K (\|f_i - \tilde{f}_i\|_i)^{r_i} \stackrel{L3.9}{\leq} K(1-\delta)R' = (1-\delta)R,$$

so

$$(15) \quad B_d\left(\left(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n\right), \frac{\delta R}{K}\right) \subset B_d\left(\left(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n\right), \delta R\right) \subset B_d((f_1, \dots, f_n), R),$$

where  $B_d((\cdot, \dots, \cdot), \cdot)$  denotes an open ball in  $(\mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}, d_{\max})$ .

On the other hand, by (14), for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and any  $a_i \in \mathbf{L}^{p_i, q_i}$ , if  $d_i(\tilde{f}_i, a_i) < \frac{\delta R}{K}$ , then also  $(\|\tilde{f}_i - a_i\|_i)^{r_i} < \frac{\delta R}{K} = \delta R'$ . Hence and by Lemma 3.9,  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \notin E_u$ , which shows that

$$(16) \quad B_d\left(\left(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n\right), \frac{\delta R}{K}\right) \cap E_u = \emptyset.$$

By (15) and (16),  $E_u$  is  $\frac{2\lambda}{K}$ -lower porous.

Now we will prove the last statement of the thesis. We may assume that  $p_1, \dots, p_j < 1$ ,  $p_{j+1}, \dots, p_m \in [1, \infty)$  and  $p_{m+1} = \dots = p_n = \infty$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, j$ , set  $r_i := p_i$ , and for  $i = j+1, \dots, n$ , set  $r_i := 1$ . Now if  $\lambda := \frac{1}{m+1}$ , then  $\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} = \frac{1}{m}$ , so

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p_i}{r_i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{m} = 1.$$

Proceeding similarly as above we get that each  $E_u$  is  $\frac{2}{1}$ -lower porous (note that here  $K = 1$ ).  $\square$

Finally, Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 3.6 imply the following partial dichotomy:

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{L}^{p, q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  be Lorentz spaces such that if  $p < \infty$ , then  $\frac{1}{p} \neq \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *the set  $E_{p, q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)}$  is  $\sigma$ - $\alpha$ -lower porous in  $\mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ;*
- (b)  *$E_{p, q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)} \neq \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$ ;*
- (c) *one of the conditions holds:*
  - (i)  $\Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$  and  $\inf\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} = 0$  and  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} > \frac{1}{p}$ ;
  - (ii)  $\Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$  and  $\sup\{\mu(A) : A \in \Sigma_+\} = \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} < \frac{1}{p}$ ;
  - (iii)  $\mu(X) = \infty$  and  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \infty$  and  $p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Implication (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is trivial. Implication (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) follows from Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.6. We will prove implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Assume that (i), (ii) and (iii) do not hold. Consider two cases:

*Case 1.*  $\Sigma_+ = \emptyset$  or  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \infty$ .

Then the equality  $E_{p, q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)} = \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  follows from negation of (iii) and Proposition 3.1.

*Case 2.*  $\Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$  and  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} < \infty$ .

Then the negation of (i) and (ii) easily imply the assumptions of Proposition 3.2.

The result follows.  $\square$

Now we will deal with the case when  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} = \frac{1}{p}$  and  $\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} < \infty$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** *Assume that  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} = \frac{1}{p}$ . Then the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *for  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $E_{p, \infty}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)} = \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$ ;*

(ii) for every  $q_i \in (0, p_i]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $q \in [p, \infty]$ ,  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)} = \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$ .

*Proof.* Part (i) follows from Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 2.1 (ii).

Part (ii) follows from a general version of the Hölder inequality [G, Exercise 1.1.2] and Proposition 2.1 (ii).  $\square$

Now we show that we can also have  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)} \neq \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $X$ ,  $p' < \infty$ ,  $p = \frac{p'}{n}$ ,  $q' \in (0, \infty]$  and  $q < \frac{q'}{n}$ . Then  $E_{p,q}^{(p', q', \dots, p', q')} \neq \mathbf{L}^{p', q'} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p', q'}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t = \frac{q'}{n}$ . By [G, Exercise 1.4.8], there is  $f \in \mathbf{L}^{p', t} \setminus \mathbf{L}^{p, q}$ . Clearly, we may assume that  $f \geq 0$ . By Proposition 2.1,  $f^{\frac{1}{n}} \in \mathbf{L}^{p_n, t_n} = \mathbf{L}^{p', q'}$ . Hence  $(f^{\frac{1}{n}}, \dots, f^{\frac{1}{n}}) \in \mathbf{L}^{p', q'} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p', q'}$  and  $f^{\frac{1}{n}} \dots f^{\frac{1}{n}} = f \notin \mathbf{L}^{p, q}$ .  $\square$

Now we show that the following dichotomy holds:

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{L}^{p, q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  be Lorentz spaces with  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} = \frac{1}{p}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)} \neq \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$ ;
- (ii)  $E_{p,q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)}$  is a meager subset of  $\mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$ .

To prove the above fact, we need the following lemma. If  $\mathbf{L}^{p, q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  are Lorentz spaces such that  $p, q < \infty$ , then for every reals  $v, u > 0$ , we put

$$(17) \quad E_u^v := \left\{ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n} : \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x : |f_1(x) \cdots f_n(x)| > v\lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda < u \right\}.$$

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{L}^{p, q}, \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  be Lorentz spaces such that  $q, p, p_1, \dots, p_n \in (0, \infty)$ . If  $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  is such that  $h_1 \cdots h_n \notin \mathbf{L}^{p, q}$ , then for every  $u, v > 0$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that*

$$B_{p_1, q_1}(h_1, r) \times \dots \times B_{p_n, q_n}(h_n, r) \cap E_u^v = \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  be such that  $h_1 \cdots h_n \notin \mathbf{L}^{p, q}$ . In particular,  $\frac{h_1 \cdots h_n}{v 2^n} \notin \mathbf{L}^{p, q}$ , so

$$(18) \quad \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v 2^n \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda = \infty.$$

Now for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$A_k := \left\{ x \in X : k > |h_i(x)| > \frac{1}{k}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Since for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $p_i < \infty$ , we have that  $\mu(A_k) < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now let  $A := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$ . Then  $A = \{x \in X : \infty > |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > 0\}$ , so by (18) and a fact that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mu\{x \in X : |h_i(x)| = \infty\} = 0$ , we get

$$\int_0^\infty \mu(\{x \in A : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda = \infty.$$

Hence by the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem, there exists  $k > 0$  such that

$$(19) \quad \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda > u.$$

Define

$$s_0 := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\}) = 0\}.$$

By (19), we get  $s_0 > 0$ , and since for  $\lambda > \frac{k^n}{v2^n}$  we have  $\mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\}) = 0$ , we also have  $s_0 < \infty$ . Moreover, again by (19), we obtain

$$\int_0^{s_0} \mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda > u,$$

therefore for some  $s \in (0, s_0)$ ,

$$\int_0^s \mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda > u.$$

By the definition of  $s_0$ , we have  $\mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n s\}) > 0$ . Hence and by the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem, there exists  $m > 0$  such that for every  $\lambda \in (0, s]$ ,  $\mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\}) > \frac{1}{m}$  and

$$(20) \quad \int_0^s \left( \mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\}) - \frac{1}{m} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda > u.$$

Now set  $r > 0$  such that

$$(21) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{2rk}{D_i} \right)^{p_i} < \frac{1}{m},$$

where  $D_i := D_{p_i, q_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  be such that  $\|h_i - a_i\|_{p_i, q_i} < r$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , put

$$(22) \quad A^i := \left\{ x \in A_k : |a_i(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |h_i(x)| \right\}.$$

Then for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$r > \|h_i - a_i\|_{p_i, q_i} \geq \left\| \frac{1}{2} h_i \chi_{A^i} \right\|_{p_i, q_i} \geq \frac{1}{2k} D_i \mu(A^i)^{\frac{1}{p_i}},$$

so for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$(23) \quad \mu(A^i) < \left( \frac{2rk}{D_i} \right)^{p_i}.$$

Hence, by (21) – (23), for every  $\lambda \geq 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x \in X : |a_1(x) \cdots a_n(x)| > v\lambda\}) &\geq \mu\left(\left\{x \in A_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A^i : |a_1(x) \cdots a_n(x)| > v\lambda\right\}\right) \stackrel{(22)}{\geq} \\ \mu\left(\left\{x \in A_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A^i : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\right\}\right) &\stackrel{(21),(23)}{\geq} \mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\}) - \frac{1}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (20),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x \in X : |a_1(x) \cdots a_n(x)| > v\lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda &\geq \\ \int_0^s \left(\mu(\{x \in A_k : |h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x)| > v2^n \lambda\}) - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda &\stackrel{(20)}{>} u, \end{aligned}$$

so  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \notin E_u^v$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* (Theorem 3.13) We only have to prove implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Hence assume (i). By Proposition 3.11 (i), we can assume that

$$\min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} < \infty \text{ and } p, q < \infty.$$

Moreover, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$  and  $p_{m+1} = \dots = p_{m+k} = \infty$  for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$  with  $m + k = n$ .

Now take  $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  with  $h_1 \cdots h_n \notin \mathbf{L}^{p, q}$ . Since  $h_{m+1}, \dots, h_n \in \mathbf{L}^\infty$ , we get  $h_1 \cdots h_m \notin \mathbf{L}^{p, q}$ .

For any  $v, u > 0$ , let  $E_u^v$  be defined as in (17). Clearly, we have  $E_{p, q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)} = \bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{N}} E_u^1$ , so we only have to show that for every  $u > 0$ , the set  $E_u^1$  is nowhere dense.

Let  $d_i, K_i, r_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  be as in (14). Set  $u > 0$  and let  $(f_1, \dots, f_m, g_1, \dots, g_k) \in \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_m, q_m} \times \mathbf{L}^\infty \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^\infty$  and  $R > 0$ . Now take  $r_0 > 0$  such that for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$(24) \quad K_i r_0^{r_i} \leq \frac{1}{2} R.$$

Define for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\tilde{f}_i(x) := \begin{cases} f_i(x) + \frac{r_0}{\|h_i\|_{p_i, q_i}} |h_i(x)|, & f_i(x) \geq 0; \\ f_i(x) - \frac{r_0}{\|h_i\|_{p_i, q_i}} |h_i(x)|, & f_i(x) < 0, \end{cases}$$

and for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$\tilde{g}_i(x) := \begin{cases} g_i(x) + \frac{R}{2}, & g_i(x) \geq 0; \\ g_i(x) - \frac{R}{2}, & g_i(x) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, clearly, for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\|\tilde{f}_i - f_i\|_{p_i, q_i} = r_0$ , and for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $\|\tilde{g}_i - g_i\|_{p_i, q_i} = \frac{R}{2}$ . In particular, by (14) and (24), for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $d_i(\tilde{f}_i, f_i) \leq \frac{1}{2} R$ , and for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $d_{m+i}(\tilde{g}_i, g_i) \leq \frac{1}{2} R$ . Hence

$$(25) \quad B_d\left(\left(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m, \tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_k\right), \frac{1}{2} R\right) \subset B_d\left(\left(f_1, \dots, f_m, g_1, \dots, g_k\right), R\right).$$

Since for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and every  $x \in X$ ,  $|\tilde{f}_i(x)| \geq \frac{r_0}{\|h_i\|_{p_i, q_i}} |h_i(x)|$ , we get that  $\tilde{f}_1 \cdots \tilde{f}_m \notin L^{p, q}$ . Now let  $l > 0$  be as in the thesis of Lemma 3.14, chosen for  $(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m)$ ,  $u$  and  $v = (\frac{4}{R})^k$ . Clearly, we may assume that

$$(26) \quad K_i l^{r_i} \leq \frac{1}{2} R, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_k) \in B_{p_1, q_1}(\tilde{f}_1, l) \times \dots \times B_{p_n, q_n}(\tilde{g}_k, l).$$

Then for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in X$ ,

$$|b_1(x) \cdots b_k(x)| \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}R - l\right)^k \geq \left(\frac{R}{4}\right)^k.$$

Hence and by Lemma 3.14,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x : |a_1(x) \cdots a_m(x) b_1(x) \cdots b_k(x)| > \lambda\})^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda \geq \\ & \int_0^\infty \mu\left(\left\{x : |a_1(x) \cdots a_m(x)| > \left(\frac{4}{R}\right)^k \lambda\right\}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda \stackrel{L 3.14}{>} u, \end{aligned}$$

so  $(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_k) \notin E_1^u$ . Hence

$$(27) \quad B_{p_1, q_1}(\tilde{f}_1, l) \times \dots \times B_{p_n, q_n}(\tilde{g}_k, l) \cap E_u^1 = \emptyset.$$

Moreover, by (14) and (26), for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $d_i(a_i, \tilde{f}_i) < \frac{1}{2}R$ , and for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $d_i(b_i, \tilde{g}_i) < \frac{1}{2}R$ . Hence

$$B(\tilde{f}_1, l) \times \dots \times B(\tilde{f}_m, l) \times B(\tilde{g}_1, l) \times \dots \times B(\tilde{g}_k, l) \subset B_d\left(\left(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m, \tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_k\right), \frac{1}{2}R\right)$$

Since each open "ball" with respect to quasinorm has a nonempty interior (this follows from (14)), the above together with (25) and (27), show that  $E_u^1$  is nowhere dense.  $\square$

**Problem 3.15.** It would be interesting to find the necessary and sufficient condition, under which  $E_{p, q}^{(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)} \neq \mathbf{L}^{p_1, q_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}^{p_n, q_n}$  in the case when  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} = \frac{1}{p}$ .

The first author has been supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education Grant No. N N201 414939.

## REFERENCES

- [BW] Balcerzak, M.; Wachowicz, A.: Some examples of meager sets in Banach spaces. *Real Anal. Exchange* 26 (2000/01), no. 2, 877–884.
- [G] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier analysis. Second edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **249** Springer, New York, 2008.
- [GS] Głąb, S.; Strobín, F.: Dichotomies for  $L^p$  spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 368 (2010) 382-390.
- [J] Jachymski, J.: A nonlinear Banach-Steinhaus theorem and some meager sets in Banach spaces. *Studia Math.* 170 (2005), no. 3, 303–320.



- [Z1] Zajíček, L.: Porosity and  $\sigma$ -porosity, *Real Anal. Exchange* 13 (1987/1988), 314–350.  
[Z2] Zajíček, L.: On  $\sigma$ -porous sets in abstract spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 5 (2005), 509–534.

*E-mail address:* szymon\_glab@yahoo.com

*E-mail address:* F.Strobin@impan.gov.pl

*E-mail address:* cw\_yang@korea.ac.kr

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ, WÓLCZAŃSKA 215, 93-005 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-956 WARSZAWA, POLAND.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ, WÓLCZAŃSKA 215, 93-005 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 136-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA