

On characteristic classes in the theory of Lie algebroids

Jan Kubarski

Dec. 2004

1 Primary characteristic classes

1.1 Classical theory for principal bundles

The classical theory of primary characteristic classes for principal fibre bundles is well known. Let (P, π, M, G) be a G -pfb on M with projection $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ and structural Lie group G acting on the right on P . $P/G = M$. The domain of the Chern-Weil homomorphism for P is the space

$$I(G) := \left(\bigvee \mathfrak{g}^* \right)_I = \left(\bigvee \mathfrak{g}^* \right)_{I(Ad_G)}$$

of symmetric multilinear functions (equivalently polynomials) on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(G)$ invariant with respect to the adjoint representation $Ad_G : G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g})$ of G . The Chern-Weil homomorphism for P

$$h_P : \left(\bigvee \mathfrak{g}^* \right)_I \rightarrow H_{dR}(M)$$

can be defined by

$$h_P(\Gamma) = [\chi_P(\Gamma)]$$

where for invariant k -polynomial $\Gamma \in \bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*$ the differential form $\chi_P(\Gamma) \in \Omega^{2k}(M)$ is such that

$$\pi^*(\chi_P(\Gamma)) = \frac{1}{k!} \Gamma(\Omega^k)$$

$\Omega \in \Omega^2(P, \mathfrak{g})$ is the curvature form of any connection ω in P , $\Gamma(\Omega^k) = \langle \Gamma, \underbrace{\Omega \vee \dots \vee \Omega}_k \rangle$ the pairing is defined through the permanent. The image $\text{Im}(h_P) \subset$

$H_{dR}^k(M)$ is called the Pontryagin algebra of P . Below we can see that:

If (P, π, M, G) and (P', π', M, G') are connected principal fibre bundles on M such that their Lie algebroids $A(P)$ and $A(P')$ are isomorphic (G and G' can be nonconnected), then $h_P = h_{P'}$.

There are non-isomorphic pfb's having isomorphic Lie algebroids (for example P equal to the trivial $SO(3)$ pfb on $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^5$ and P' equal to the nontrivial $\text{Spin}(3)$ structure on $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^5$).

The algebra $I(G)$ is well known for standard Lie groups, for example

$I(GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$ is generated by Pontryagin polynomials p_i defined for matrices $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\det\left(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{1}_n - \frac{1}{2\pi} A\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i(A) \cdot \lambda^{n-i}.$$

$I(O(n))$ as above by p_{2i} .

$I(SO(2m-1))$ as above by p_{2i} .

$I(SO(2m))$ as above by p_{2i} and by the so-called Pfaffian $Pf \in \left(\bigvee_{\mathfrak{so}(2m)}^*\right)_I$

defined for $A = [A_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{so}(2m)$ by

$$Pf(A) = \frac{1}{2^{2m} \pi^m} \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot A_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{\sigma_{2m-1}, \sigma_{2m}}.$$

For example $Pf\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & a \\ -a & 0 \end{array}\right) = a$.

1.2 The Lie algebroid of a pfb (P, π, M, G) .

Take the Atiyah short exact sequence (\mathfrak{g} is the **right!** Lie algebra of G)

$$0 \rightarrow P \times_G \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow TP/G \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0.$$

$P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie Algebra Bundle, for any $z \in P_x$

$$\hat{z} : \mathfrak{g} \cong (P \times_G \mathfrak{g})_x, v \mapsto [(z, v)],$$

is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. Splittings of this sequence are in a 1-1 correspondence to connections in P . TP/G is a vector bundle over M such that

$$\text{Sec}(TP/G) \cong \mathfrak{X}^r(P),$$

$\mathfrak{X}^r(P)$ is the Lie algebra of G -right invariant vector fields on P . Therefore we can introduce the structure of Lie algebra $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$ in the space of global sections of the vector bundle TP/G . The projection (called the *anchor*) $[\pi_*] : TP/G \rightarrow TM$ passing to the sections is a Lie algebra homomorphism $[\pi_*]([[\xi, \eta]]) = [[[\pi_*] \xi, [\pi_*] \eta]]$. The Leibniz condition

$$[[\xi, f \cdot \eta]] = f \cdot [[\xi, \eta]] + [\pi_*](\xi)(f) \cdot \eta$$

holds. Therefore

$$(TP/G, [[\cdot, \cdot]], [\pi_*])$$

is a Lie algebroid on M denoted by $A(P)$ for which the linear homomorphism $[\pi_*] : TP/G \rightarrow TM$ is an epimorphism.

Definition 1 By a Lie algebroid on a manifold M we mean the triple $(A, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \#_A)$ where A is a vector bundle on M , $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ is a real Lie algebra structure in the space of global sections $\text{Sec } A$ and $\#_A : A \rightarrow TM$ is a linear homomorphism fulfilling the Leibniz condition

$$\llbracket \xi, f \cdot \eta \rrbracket = f \cdot \llbracket \xi, \eta \rrbracket + \#_A(\xi)(f) \cdot \eta.$$

$\text{Sec } \#_A : \text{Sec } A \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. The vector field $X = \#_A \circ \xi$ is the anchor of the section $\xi \in \text{Sec } A$. Homomorphisms of Lie algebroids preserve by definition the anchors and Lie algebra structures. In the space $\Omega(A) = \text{Sec} \left(\bigwedge A^* \right)$ the differential d_A is defined by the analogous formula as for usual differential forms

$$\begin{aligned} (d_A \Phi)(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_p) &= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i (\#_A \circ \xi_i) (\Phi(\xi_0, \dots, \hat{\xi}_i, \dots, \xi_p)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \Phi(\llbracket \xi_i, \xi_j \rrbracket, \xi_0, \dots, \hat{\xi}_i, \dots, \hat{\xi}_j, \dots, \xi_p), \end{aligned}$$

and defines the algebra of cohomology $H(A)$.

A is called **transitive** if $\#_A$ is an epimorphism. A is called *regular* if the anchor is of constant rank. The image $\text{Im } \#_A$ is then a regular foliation. (In arbitrary case, the image $\text{Im } \#_A$ of the anchor is a Stefan foliation).

Denote for shortness

$$A(P) := TP/G.$$

We notice that having only the Lie algebroid $A(P)$ we can not reconstruct the structural Lie group, but only its Lie algebra !

The Lie algebroid $A(P)$ acts on the Lie algebra bundle $P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$ad_{A(P)}(\xi)(\nu) = \llbracket \xi, \nu \rrbracket.$$

This actions can be extended to the actions $ad_{A(P)}^\vee$ of $A(P)$ on the symmetric power of the dual of $P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$, $\bigvee^k (P \times_G \mathfrak{g})^*$ - i.e. on the vector bundle of polynomials. We take the space [algebra] of invariant sections

$$I(A(P)) := \bigoplus^k \text{Sec} \left(\bigvee^k (P \times_G \mathfrak{g})^* \right)_I$$

of vector bundles $\bigvee^k (P \times_G \mathfrak{g})^*$. We have $\Gamma \in \text{Sec} \left(\bigvee^k (P \times_G \mathfrak{g})^* \right)_I$ if and only if for every $\xi \in \text{Sec}(A(P))$ and $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k \in \text{Sec}(P \times_G \mathfrak{g})$

$$[\pi_*](\xi) \langle \Gamma, \nu_1 \vee \dots \vee \nu_k \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \Gamma, \nu_1 \vee \dots \vee \llbracket \xi, \nu_i \rrbracket \vee \dots \vee \nu_k \rangle.$$

Theorem 2 *If P is a **connected** pfb (G can be non-connected) then there exists an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\rho : I(G) \rightarrow I(A(P)).$$

(In general, we have always a monomorphism).

To understand this fact we need to explain the definition of the Lie algebroid of a vector bundle.

By a Lie algebroid of a vector bundle \mathfrak{f} we mean the Lie algebroid $A(\mathfrak{f}) := A(L\mathfrak{f})$ of the $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -pfb $L\mathfrak{f}$ of all frames of \mathfrak{f} ($n = \text{rank } \mathfrak{f} = \dim \mathfrak{f}_x$). The fibre $A(L\mathfrak{f})_x$ over x is canonically isomorphic to the space of \mathbb{R} -linear homomorphisms

$$\{l : \text{Sec } \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_x; \exists v \in T_x M \forall \xi \in \text{Sec } \mathfrak{f} \forall f \in C^\infty(M) (l(f \cdot \xi) = f \cdot l(\xi) + v(f) \cdot \xi)\}.$$

In other words, a global section $\mathfrak{L} \in A(\mathfrak{f})$ determines a **covariant derivative operator**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L} : \text{Sec } \mathfrak{f} &\rightarrow \text{Sec } \mathfrak{f}, \\ \mathfrak{L}(f \cdot \xi) &= f \cdot \mathfrak{L}(\xi) + X(f) \cdot \xi \end{aligned}$$

The vector field X is here the anchor of \mathfrak{L} , $X = \#_{A(\mathfrak{f})}(\mathfrak{L})$. We see that the space of global sections of $A(\mathfrak{f})$ is canonically isomorphic to the space of **covariant derivative operators**. This isomorphism is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. There are many other geometric categories from which we can define a Lie Functor to the category of Lie algebroids: principal fibre bundles, vector bundles, Lie or differential groupoids, transversely complete foliations, nonclosed Lie subgroups, Poisson manifolds etc.

Proof of the Theorem.

Take the Lie algebroid $A(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Lie Algebra Bundle $\mathfrak{g} := P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ and the adjoint representation $Ad_G : G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g})$, $Ad_G(g) = (\tau_g)_{*e}$, where $\tau_g : G \rightarrow G$, $h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$. Consider the Ad_G -homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} Ad_P : P &\rightarrow L\mathfrak{g} \\ Ad_P(z) &= [(z, \cdot)] \end{aligned}$$

($[(z, \cdot)] : \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}_x$ is a frame of \mathfrak{g} at x) of the principal fibre bundles which is called the *adjoint representation of a principal fibre bundle* and consider its differential

$$ad_{A(P)} = (Ad_P)' : A(P) \rightarrow A(\mathfrak{g})$$

which is equal to the adjoint representation of the Lie algebroid $A(P)$, $ad_{A(P)}(\xi)(\nu) = \llbracket \xi, \nu \rrbracket$. The representation Ad_P can be lifted standardly to the homomorphism

$Ad_P^\vee : P \rightarrow L\left(\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)$ of pfb's with respect to the induced homomorphism $Ad_G^\vee : G \rightarrow GL\left(\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)$, as well as $ad_{A(P)}$ can be lifted to the homomorphism

of Lie algebroids $ad_{A(P)}^\vee : A(P) \rightarrow A\left(\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)$. The analogous property

$$(Ad_P^\vee)' = ad_{A(P)}^\vee$$

holds. To prove our theorem we need the following definitions and Lemmas:

Definition 3 Let $\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a representation of a Lie group G in a finite dimensional vector space V and \mathfrak{f} a vector bundle with the typical fibre V . Let $F : P \rightarrow L\mathfrak{f}$ be a μ -homomorphism of principal fibre bundles. A section $\xi \in \text{Sec } \mathfrak{f}$ is called F -invariant if there exists a vector $v \in V$ such that

$$F(z)(v) = \xi_{\pi z} \quad \text{for all } z \in P.$$

Denote by $(\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I(F)}$ the space of all F -invariant sections of \mathfrak{f} .

Lemma 4 Denote by $V_{I(\mu)}$ the subspace of V of μ -invariant vectors. Then, for $v \in V_{I(\mu)}$, the function

$$\xi_v : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}, \quad x \longmapsto F(z)(v)$$

where $z \in P_x$, is a correctly defined smooth section of \mathfrak{f} and

$$V_{I(\mu)} \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I(F)}, \quad v \longmapsto \xi_v,$$

is an isomorphism.

Therefore applying Lemma 4 to the representation $Ad_P^\vee : P \rightarrow L\left(\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)$ we have

$$I^k(G) = \left(\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)_{I(Ad_G)} \cong \left(\text{Sec } \bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)_{I(Ad_P^\vee)}.$$

Definition 5 Let $T : A \rightarrow A(\mathfrak{f})$ be a homomorphism of Lie algebroids (T is called a representation of A in a vector bundle \mathfrak{f}). A section $\xi \in \text{Sec } \mathfrak{f}$ is called T -invariant (or T -parallel) if $T(v)(\xi) = 0$ for all $v \in A$. Denote by $(\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I^\circ(T)}$ the space of all T -invariant sections of \mathfrak{f} .

Lemma 6 Let $F : P \rightarrow L\mathfrak{f}$ be a μ -homomorphism of principal fibre bundles and $F' : A(P) \rightarrow A(\mathfrak{f})$ its differential. The spaces of invariant sections $(\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I(F)}$ and $(\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I^\circ(F')}$ under F and its differential F' are related by

- (a) $(\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I(F)} \subset (\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I^\circ(F')}$,
- (b) if P is **connected** (nothing is assumed about the connectedness of G), then

$$(\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I(F)} = (\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I^\circ(F')}.$$

In consequence, applying to the representation $ad_{A(P)}^\vee : A(P) \rightarrow A\left(\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)$ we have

$$I^k(G) \cong \left(\text{Sec } \bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)_{I(Ad_P^\vee)} \subset \left(\text{Sec } \bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)_{I^\circ(ad_{A(P)}^\vee)} = I^k(A(P)).$$

If P is connected we have $I^k(G) \cong (I^\circ)^k(A(P))$.

1.3 Chern-Weil homomorphism for Lie algebroids.

Now we pass to the construction of **the Chern-Weil homomorphism for Lie algebroids**.

First, take a single transitive Lie algebroid A with the Atiyah sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\#_A} TM \rightarrow 0$. The Lie algebroid A acts on the Lie Algebra Bundle \mathfrak{g} by $ad_A : A \rightarrow A(\mathfrak{g})$, $ad_A(\xi)(\nu) = \llbracket \xi, \nu \rrbracket$, $\xi \in \text{Sec } A$, $\nu \in \text{Sec } \mathfrak{g}$. This action can be extended on the bundle $\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*$ to the action $ad_A : A \rightarrow A\left(\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*\right)$. Let $(I^\circ)^k(A)$ denotes the space of invariant sections of $\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*$. $I^\circ(A) := \bigoplus (I^\circ)^k(A)$ is an algebra. The value $\Gamma_x \in \bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}_x^*$ at x of any invariant section is a vector invariant with respect to the adjoint representation $ad_{\mathfrak{g}_x}$ of the isotropy Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_x . Each invariant vector $v \in \bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}_x^*$ can be extended uniquely to some invariant section of the bundle $\bigvee^k \mathfrak{g}^*$ over some open subset containing x (for example if this neighbourhood is contractible). Sometimes v can be extended on the whole M . Namely, to see this consider an arbitrary general representation $T : A \rightarrow A(\mathfrak{f})$ of A on a vector bundle \mathfrak{f} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{T^+} & \text{End } \mathfrak{f} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{T} & A(\mathfrak{f}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ TM & = & TM \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

$T_x^+ : \mathfrak{g}_x \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{f}_x)$ is a representation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_x on the vector bundle \mathfrak{f}_x . Denote by $(\mathfrak{f}_x)_{I^\circ}$ the subspace of T_x^+ -invariant vector and take the subbundle $\mathfrak{f}_I := \bigcup_{x \in M} (\mathfrak{f}_x)_{I^\circ} \subset \mathfrak{f}$. The representation T gives a usual flat covariant derivative ∇ in \mathfrak{f}_I and section ξ of this bundle is parallel under ∇ iff ξ is T -invariant. ∇ is defined by: for $v \in T_x M$ and arbitrary lifted vector $\tilde{v} \in A_x$, $\#_A(\tilde{v}) = v$ we put $\nabla_v \xi = T(\tilde{v})(\xi)$. Taking the holonomy homomorphism

$\pi_1(M) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{f}_x)_{I^o}$ for ∇ we see that ξ is T -invariant iff $\xi_x \in (\mathfrak{f}_x)_{I^o}$ for any point $x \in M$ and at any arbitrary fixed point x_o the vector ξ_{x_o} is invariant under the holonomy homomorphism, $\xi_{x_o} \in (\mathfrak{f}_{x_o})_{I^o}^{\pi_1(M)}$.

Take a connection $\omega : TM \rightarrow A$ (i.e. $\#_A \circ \omega = \text{id}_{TM}$) and its curvature form $\Omega \in \Omega^2(M; \mathfrak{g})$

$$\Omega(X, Y) = \llbracket \omega(X), \omega(Y) \rrbracket - \omega([X, Y]).$$

The Chern-Weil homomorphism of A is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_A : I^o(A) &\rightarrow H(M) \\ (I^o)^k(A) \ni \Gamma &\longmapsto \frac{1}{k!} [\langle \Gamma, \Omega \vee \dots \vee \Omega \rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

If there exists a flat connection then $h_A^+ = 0$. The analogous construction can be made analogously for regular Lie algebroid over a foliated manifold (M, F) , we must use the algebra of tangential differential forms $\Omega(F)$ and its cohomology $H(F)$ instead of $\Omega(M)$ and $H(M)$.

Theorem 7 *If $A(P)$ is a Lie algebroid of a principal fibre bundle (P, π, M, G) , then the diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^o(A(P)) & & \\ & \searrow h_{A(P)} & \\ \rho \uparrow & & H(M) \\ I(G) & \nearrow h_P & \end{array}$$

If P is connected, then under the identification $I(G) = I^o(A(P))$ we have $h_P = h_{A(P)}$.

1.4 The Chern-Weil homomorphism for pairs of Lie algebroids

Take a pair of Lie algebroids (A, L) on a manifold M and assume that A is transitive (we may assume only that A is regular). let $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\#_A} TM \rightarrow 0$ be the Atiyah sequence of A , $\mathfrak{g} = \ker \#_A$.

Definition 8 *By a L -connection in A we mean a linear homomorphism*

$$\nabla : L \rightarrow A$$

compatible with the anchors $\#_A \circ \nabla = \#_L$. By a curvature form of ∇ we shall mean the 2-form $\Omega_\nabla \in \Omega^2(L; \mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$\Omega_\nabla(\xi, \eta) = \llbracket \nabla \circ \xi, \nabla \circ \eta \rrbracket.$$

If $L = TM$ then ∇ is a splitting of the Atiyah sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0$, i.e. a usual connection in A . If $L = T^*M$ is the Lie algebroid of a Poisson manifold $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ and $A = A(P)$ we have the so-called **contravariant connection** in a principal fibre bundle P , if $A = A(\mathfrak{f})$ we have the so-called contravariant connection in a vector bundle \mathfrak{f} (see Fernandes). If $0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow L \rightarrow L'' \rightarrow 0$ is an extension of Lie algebroids, then any splitting $\nabla : L'' \rightarrow L$ is a L'' -connection in L (Huebschmann). We add that a flat L -connection in $A(\mathfrak{f})$ is the same as L -covariant derivative $\nabla_\xi \nu$ in a vector bundle \mathfrak{f} , $\xi \in \text{Sec } L$, $\nu \in \text{Sec } \mathfrak{f}$, i.e. an operator $\nabla_\xi \nu$ fulfilling the usual Koszul axioms with the following difference:

$$\nabla_\xi (f\nu) = f\nabla_\xi \nu + \#_L(\xi)(f)\nu.$$

The following superposition

$$L \xrightarrow{\#_L} TM \xrightarrow{\omega} A$$

(where $\omega : TM \rightarrow A$ is a connection in A) is an example of a L -connection in A .

By the Chern-Weil homomorphism of the pair (A, L) we mean

$$h_{L,A} : I^\circ(A) \rightarrow H(L)$$

defined by the formula identical to (1). The image of $h_{L,A}$ is the Pontryagin algebra of the pair (L, A) ,

$$\text{Pont}(L, A) := \text{Im } h_{L,A}.$$

Theorem 9

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^\circ(A) & \xrightarrow{h_A} & H(M) \\ & h_{L,A} \searrow & \downarrow (\#_L)^* \\ & & H(L) \end{array}$$

In particular $(\#_L)^*[\text{Pont } A] = \text{Pont}(L, A)$.

Consider $L = A$, $\nabla = \text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A$ is a flat A -connection in A , so $h_{A,A}^+ = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(A) & \xrightarrow{h_A} & H(M) \\ & h_{A,A}^+ = 0 \searrow & \downarrow (\#_L)^* \\ & & H(A) \end{array}$$

$\text{Pont}^* A \subset \ker (\#_L)^*$. In this way we have simply proof of the well known fact concerning principal fibre bundle $\pi : P \rightarrow M$, $\text{Pont}(P) \subset \ker \pi^*$.

1.5 Problem

Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \#_A)$ and \mathfrak{f} be a transitive Lie algebroid and a vector bundle on a manifold M , respectively. Assume that $F \subset TM$ is a C^∞ constant dimensional involutive distribution and \mathcal{F} – the foliation determined by F . We recall that

A and F give rise to the regular Lie algebroid over (M, F) where we put $A^F := (\#_A)^{-1}[F] \subset A$; see. Its Atiyah sequence is

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow A^F \xrightarrow{\#_A^F} F \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra bundle adjoint of A , and $\#_A^F := \#_A|_{A^F}$. Any representation $T : A \rightarrow A(\mathfrak{f})$ of A on \mathfrak{f} restricts to the representation

$$T^F = T|_{A^F} : A^F \longrightarrow A(\mathfrak{f})$$

of A^F on \mathfrak{f} .

Lemma 10 For \mathcal{F} -basic functions $f^i \in \Omega_b^\circ(M, \mathcal{F})$ and T -invariant cross-sections $\nu_i \in \text{Sec } \mathfrak{f}$, $\sum_i f^i \cdot \nu_i$ is a T^F -invariant cross-section, in other words,

$$\Omega_b^\circ(M, \mathcal{F}) \cdot (\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I^\circ(T)} \subset (\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I^\circ(T^F)}.$$

In general, the above inclusion can not be replaced by the equality, which means that not every T^F -invariant cross-section is of the form $\sum_i f^i \cdot \nu_i$ for \mathcal{F} -basic functions f^i and T -invariant cross-sections ν_i . As an example we can consider the Möbius band with the foliation F by meridians. Equip M with a flat Riemannian structure for which the fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ are orthonormal base. Let P be $O(2, \mathbb{R})$ -principal bundle of orthonormal frames of TM and $A(P)$ let be the Lie algebroid of P with the Atiyah sequence $0 \rightarrow Sk(TM, TM) \rightarrow A(P) \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0$.

The Pfaffian $Pf \in Sk(2, \mathbb{R})$ is not $O(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant but there exists an invariant section of $Sk(TM, TM)^*$ of the form $Pf \cdot g$ where g is some basic function equaling zero at one leaf of F .

Definition 11 Each T^F -invariant cross-section $\nu \in (\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I^\circ(T^F)}$ not belonging to $\Omega_b^\circ(M, \mathcal{F}) \cdot (\text{Sec } \mathfrak{f})_{I^\circ(T)}$ will be called singular. The characteristic class corresponding to any singular cross-section will be also called singular.

Problem 12 Find an example of nontrivial singular characteristic class.

Let P be a G -principal bundle on M , whereas $F \subset TM$ and \mathcal{F} are as above.

Definition 13 By the tangential Chern-Weil homomorphism of P over (M, F) we mean the Chern-Weil homomorphism $h_{A(P)^F}$ of the regular Lie algebroid $A(P)^F$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be the right Lie algebra of G and $(\bigvee \mathfrak{g}^*)_{I(G)}$ — the algebra of G -invariant elements.

Theorem 14 Let G_\circ be the connected component containing the unit of G . If each G_\circ -invariant element of $\bigvee \mathfrak{g}^*$ is G -invariant, then the domain of the homomorphism $h_{A(P)^F}$ is equal to $\Omega_b^\circ(M, \mathcal{F}) \cdot I^\circ(A(P))$ ($\cong \Omega_b^\circ(M, \mathcal{F}) \cdot (\bigvee \mathfrak{g}^*)_{I(G)}$ when P is connected).

Consider a nonorientable Riemannian vector bundle \mathfrak{f} of rank $2m$ and a connected $O(2m; \mathbb{R})$ -principal bundle P of orthonormal frames of \mathfrak{f} , and the transitive Lie algebroid $A = A(P)$. We have $\text{Pont}^{2m}(P) = \text{Pont}^{2m}(A) = 0$ (and, of course, $\text{Pont}^k(P) = 0$ for $k > 2m$).

Problem 15 *Using singular characteristic classes find an example of a nonorientable Riemannian vector bundle f and an involutive distribution F with orientable leaves for which*

$$\text{Pont}^{2m}(A^F) \neq 0.$$

2 Secondary flat characteristic classes for Lie algebroids

2.1 Classical theory for principal bundles

Consider the triple (P, P', ω) where $P = (P, \pi, M, G)$ is a G -principal fibre bundle, P' is his H -reduction ($H \subset G$ is a closed Lie subgroup of G), and ω is a flat connection in P . Equivalently (according to Lehmann approach) we can consider two ideals J_1 and J_2 in the algebra of invariant polynomials $I(G)$

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= I^+(G), \\ J_2 &= \ker(I(G) \rightarrow I(H)). \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic homomorphism

$$\Delta_{\#P, P', \omega} = \Delta_{\#} : H^*(\mathfrak{g}, H) \longrightarrow H_{dR}(M)$$

is one of the most important notion in differential geometry of principal bundles. The cohomology classes from the image of the homomorphism $\Delta_{\#P, P', \omega}$ are called the *secondary flat characteristic classes* of (P, P', ω) .

Since this homomorphism is an invariant of the class of homotopic H -reductions and measures the incompatibility of the flat structure ω with a given H -reduction, the nontriviality of $\Delta_{\#P, P', \omega}$ implies that there is no homotopic changing of P' containing the connection ω .

We recall that $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, H)$, called the *relative Lie algebra cohomology*, is the cohomology space of the complex

$$\left(\left(\bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^* \right)_I, d^H \right)$$

where $(\bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)_I$ is the space of invariant elements with respect to the adjoint representation of the Lie group H and the differential d^H is defined via the formula

$$\langle d^H(\psi), [w_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [w_k] \rangle = \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \langle \psi, [[w_i, w_j]] \wedge [w_1] \wedge \dots \hat{i} \dots \hat{j} \dots \wedge [w_k] \rangle$$

for $\psi \in \bigwedge^k (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})_I^*$ and $w_i \in \mathfrak{g}$. The homomorphism $\Delta_{\#P,P',\omega}$ on the level of forms is given by the following direct formula

$$(\Delta\psi)(x; w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_k) = \langle \psi, [\omega(z; \tilde{w}_1)] \wedge \dots \wedge [\omega(z; \tilde{w}_k)] \rangle$$

where $x \in M$, $z \in P'$, $\pi z = x$, $w_i \in T_x M$, $\tilde{w}_i \in T_z P'$, $\pi'_* \tilde{w}_i = w_i$ ($\omega : P \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the connection form of a given flat connection).

The relative Lie algebra cohomology $H(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ is well known (Kamber-Tondeur, Godbillon). For example

$$H(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}), O(n)) \cong \bigwedge (y_1, y_3, \dots, y_{2n'-1})$$

where n' is the largest odd integer $\leq n$, and we have by definition $y_{2k-1} \in H^{4k-3}(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}), O(n))$ are represented by the multilinear trace form $\tilde{y}_k \in \bigwedge (\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) / \mathfrak{Sk}(n, \mathbb{R}))^*$

$$\tilde{y}_{2k-1}([A_1], \dots, [A_{4k-3}]) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{4k-3}} \text{sgn } \sigma \text{ tr} \left(\tilde{A}_{\sigma(1)} \circ \dots \circ \tilde{A}_{\sigma(4k-3)} \right) \quad (2)$$

where $\tilde{A}_i = \frac{1}{2} (A_i + A_i^T)$ - the symmetrization of A_i .

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathfrak{gl}(2m-1, \mathbb{R}), SO(2m-1)) &= H(\mathfrak{gl}(2m-1, \mathbb{R}), O(2m-1)) \\ &= \bigwedge (y_1, y_3, \dots, y_{2n'-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$H(\mathfrak{gl}(2m, \mathbb{R}), SO(2m)) = \bigwedge (y_1, y_3, \dots, y_{2n'-1}, (Sf)_{2m})$$

where $(Sf)_{2m}$ is the called skew symmetric Pfaffian.

2.2 Algebroid's generalization

Consider a triple

$$(A, B, \nabla)$$

consisting of transitive Lie algebroid A and its transitive Lie subalgebroid B and (nonregular, in general) Lie algebroid L on M and a flat L -connection $\nabla : L \rightarrow A$. In the diagram below $\lambda_B : TM \rightarrow B$ means an arbitrary auxiliary connection in B . Then $j \circ \lambda_B : TM \rightarrow A$ is a connection in A . Let $\omega^{j \circ \lambda_B} : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be its connection form.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \xhookrightarrow{\subset} & A & \xleftarrow{\nabla} & L \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \omega^{j \circ \lambda_B} & & j & \#_A & \#_L \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h} & \xhookrightarrow{\subset} & B & \xrightarrow{\#_B} & TM \supset F \\ & & & & & \lambda_B & \\ & & & & & \longleftarrow & \end{array} \quad (D)$$

Clearly, A and B can be regular Lie algebroids over the same foliated manifold (M, F) . The constructed characteristic homomorphism for the triple (A, B, ∇) is measuring the incompatibility of the flat structure with a given subalgebroid and has homotopic properties in analogy to the classical case of principal bundles.

Example 16 1. For $L = TM$ we obtain the case in which the connection ∇ is a connection in A ,

2. For $L = TM$ and $A = TP/G$ and $B = TP'/H$ (P' is an H -reduction of P) we obtain the classical case equivalent to the standard case of principal bundles.
3. For $L = A$ and $\nabla = \text{id}_A$ we consider only the Lie algebroid A and its Lie subalgebroid B . This case produces a characteristic homomorphism for the inclusion $B \subset A$, in particular for the inclusion of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, and in particular for inclusion of principal bundles $P' \subset P$ which finally produces a new theorem for principal bundles.

It is the main goal of my talk.

4. Let \mathfrak{f} be a vector bundle equipped with a Riemannian metric g . If $A = A(\mathfrak{f})$ (i.e. A is the Lie algebroid of the principal bundle of frames $L\mathfrak{f}$) and $B \subset A$ is a Riemannian reduction $B = A(\mathfrak{f}, \{g\})$ (more precisely B is the Lie algebroid of the principal bundle of orthogonal frames). We obtain the case equivalent to the one considered by M. Crainic of the characteristic exotic characteristic classes for a representation of any Lie algebroid L in a vector bundle.

To construct the characteristic homomorphism for (A, B, ∇) we notice that for a general connection $\nabla : L \rightarrow A$ does not exist a suitable notion of a connection form. The connection form was used in the direct formula for the classical case. We must do a characteristic homomorphism without any "connection form". In the classical case (P, P', ω) take auxiliarily a connection λ in P' and extend it to a connection in P . Let $\omega^\lambda : P \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the connection form. Then it appears that the characteristic homomorphism for (P, P', ω) can be defined on the level of differential forms via

$$(\Delta_* \Psi)_x (w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_k) = \langle \Psi_x, [-\omega^\lambda \circ \hat{w}_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [-\omega^\lambda \circ \hat{w}_k] \rangle$$

for $\Psi \in \text{Sec} \bigwedge^k (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$, $x \in M$, $w_i \in T_x M$ and \hat{w}_i being the horizontal lifting of w_i with respect to the flat connection ω taken at the beginning.

In the general case (A, B, ∇) we define the homomorphism

$$\omega_{B, \nabla} : L \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$$

given by

$$\omega_{B, \nabla} (w) = [- (\omega^{j \circ \lambda_B} \circ \nabla) (w)].$$

Remark 17 (1) It is important observation that $\omega_{B,\nabla}$ does not depend on the choice of an auxiliary connection λ_B ($\lambda_B - \lambda'_B$ takes values in \mathfrak{h})

(2) $\omega_{B,\nabla} = 0$ if ∇ takes values in B .

Definition 18 Define the homomorphism of algebras

$$\Delta : \text{Sec} \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^* \longrightarrow \Omega(L) \quad (3)$$

by

$$(\Delta\Psi)(x; w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_k) = \langle \Psi_x, \omega_{B,\nabla}(w_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{B,\nabla}(w_k) \rangle,$$

$\Psi \in \text{Sec} \bigwedge^k (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$, $x \in M$, $w_i \in L|_x$.

Observe that Δ can be written as superposition $\Delta = \nabla^* \circ \Delta_o$,

$$\Delta : \text{Sec} \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^* \xrightarrow{\Delta_o} \Omega(A) \xrightarrow{\nabla^*} \Omega(L)$$

where ∇^* is the pullback of forms and Δ_o is the homomorphism given for particular case of flat connection $\nabla = \text{id}_A$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_o\Psi)(x; v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) &= \langle \Psi_x, \omega_{B,\text{id}_A}(v_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{B,\text{id}_A}(v_k) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi_x, [-\omega^{j \circ \lambda_B}(v_1)] \wedge \dots \wedge [-\omega^{j \circ \lambda_B}(v_k)] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $\Psi \in \text{Sec} \bigwedge^k (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$, $x \in M$, $v_i \in A|_x$.

In the algebra $\text{Sec} \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$ we distinguish the subalgebra $(\text{Sec} \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)_{I^\circ(B)}$ of invariant sections with respect to an adjoint representation of B in $\bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$.

$\Psi \in (\text{Sec} \bigwedge^k (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)_{I^\circ(B)}$ if and only if

$$(\gamma_B \circ \xi) \langle \Psi, [\nu_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [\nu_k] \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} \langle \Psi, [[j \circ \xi, \nu_j]] \wedge [\nu_1] \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{j} \dots \wedge [\nu_k] \rangle$$

for all $\xi \in \text{Sec } B$ and $\nu_j \in \text{Sec } \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, if $\Psi \in (\text{Sec} \bigwedge^k (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)_{I^\circ(B)}$ then for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$ and $\xi = \lambda_B \circ X$ we have

$$X \langle \Psi, [\nu_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [\nu_k] \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} \langle \Psi, [[j \circ \lambda_B \circ X, \nu_j]] \wedge [\nu_1] \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{j} \dots \wedge [\nu_k] \rangle. \quad (4)$$

In the space $(\text{Sec} \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)_{I^\circ(B)}$ of invariant cross-sections we have a differential $\bar{\delta}$ defined by

$$\langle \bar{\delta}\Psi, [\nu_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [\nu_k] \rangle = - \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \langle \Psi, [[\nu_i, \nu_j]] \wedge [\nu_1] \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{i} \dots \wedge \hat{j} \dots \wedge [\nu_k] \rangle,$$

$\Psi \in \text{Sec} \bigwedge^k (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*_{I^\circ(B)}$, $\nu_i \in \text{Sec } \mathfrak{g}$.

$H(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ is the cohomology algebra of $((\text{Sec} \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)_{I^\circ(B)}, \bar{\delta})$.

Theorem 19 *The homomorphism Δ commutes with the differentials $\bar{\delta}$ and d_L .*

Corollary 20 *Δ and Δ_o induce the homomorphisms in cohomology*

$$\Delta_{\#(A,B,\nabla)} : H(\mathfrak{g}, B) \xrightarrow{\Delta_o\#} H(A) \xrightarrow{\nabla\#} H(L). \quad (5)$$

The map $\Delta_{\#}$ is called the *characteristic homomorphism* of the triple (A, B, ∇) . Of course, Δ_o is the characteristic homomorphism of the pair (A, B) , $B \subset A$.

Remark 21 We see that for a pair of transitive Lie algebroids (A, B) , $B \subset A$, [they can be both regular over the same foliation] and for an arbitrary element $\kappa \in H(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ there exists a "universal" cohomology class $\Delta_o\#(\kappa) \in H(A)$ such that for any (nonregular in general) Lie algebroid L on M and a flat L -connection $\nabla : L \rightarrow A$ the equality holds

$$\Delta_{\#}(\kappa) = \nabla\#(\Delta_o\#(\kappa)).$$

Problem 22 *Is the characteristic homomorphism $\Delta_o\# : H(\mathfrak{g}, B) \rightarrow H(A)$ a monomorphism for a given $B \subset A$?*

The characteristic homomorphism $\Delta_{\#(A,B,\nabla)} : H(\mathfrak{g}, B) \rightarrow H(L)$ has functoriality property and is invariant under homotopic subalgebroids.

Definition 23 *Two Lie subalgebroids $B_0, B_1 \subset A$ (both over (M, F)) are said to be homotopic if there exists a Lie subalgebroid $B \subset T\mathbb{R} \times A$ over $(\mathbb{R} \times M, T\mathbb{R} \times F)$ such that for $t \in \{0, 1\}$*

$$v_x \in B_{t|x} \iff (\theta_t, v_x) \in B_{|(t,x)}. \quad (6)$$

B is called a subalgebroid joining B_0 with B_1 .

This relation is closely related to the relation of homotopic subbundles of a principal bundle.

Theorem 24 (The first homotopy independence) *If $B_0, B_1 \subset A$ are homotopic subalgebroids of A and $\nabla : L \rightarrow A$ is a flat L -connection in A , characteristic homomorphisms $\Delta_{\#} : H(\mathfrak{g}, B_0) \rightarrow H(L)$ and $\Delta_{\#} : H(\mathfrak{g}, B_1) \rightarrow H(L)$ are equivalent in this sense that there exists an isomorphism $\alpha : H(\mathfrak{g}, B_0) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H(\mathfrak{g}, B_1)$ of algebras such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\mathfrak{g}, B_0) & & \\ \downarrow \alpha \simeq & \searrow \Delta_{\#} & \\ & & H_L(M) \\ & \nearrow \Delta_{\#} & \\ H(\mathfrak{g}', B_1) & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Definition 25 Let $H_0, H_1 : L' \rightarrow L$ be homomorphisms of Lie algebroids. By a homotopy joining H_0 to H_1 we mean a homomorphism of Lie algebroids

$$H : T\mathbb{R} \times L' \longrightarrow L$$

such that $H(\theta_0, \cdot) = H_0$ and $H(\theta_1, \cdot) = H_1$ where θ_0 and θ_1 are null vector tangent bundle of R at 0 and 1, respectively. We say that H_0 and H_1 are homotopic and write $H_0 \sim H_1$.

The homotopy $H : T\mathbb{R} \times L' \longrightarrow L$ determines a chain homotopy operator [?] which implies that $H_0^\# = H_1^\# : H_L(M) \rightarrow H_{L'}(M')$.

Theorem 26 (The second homotopy independence) If $\nabla_0, \nabla_1 : L \rightarrow A$ are homotopic flat L -connections of A then characteristic homomorphisms are equal $\Delta_{\#(A,B,\nabla_0)} = \Delta_{\#(A,B,\nabla_1)}$.

2.3 Application to principal bundles

Taking a **connected** principal bundle $P = P(M, G)$ with a structure Lie group G and a **connected** H -reduction $P' \subset P$ and using the isomorphism of algebras ρ we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H(\mathfrak{g}, H) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} & H_{dR}^r(P) & \longrightarrow & H_{dR}(P) \\ \cong \downarrow \rho & & \parallel & & \\ H(\mathfrak{g}, A(P)) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} & H_{A(P)}(M) & & . \end{array}$$

as well as we obtain

Theorem 27 If G is a compact connected group and P' is a connected H -reduction in an G -principal bundle P , then there exists a "universal" homomorphism $\Delta_o^\#$ acting from the algebra $H(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ to the total cohomology $H_{dR}(P)$,

$$\Delta_o^\# : H(\mathfrak{g}, H) \longrightarrow H_{dR}(P).$$

In the case of flat principal bundle P for every flat connection ω in the bundle P the characteristic homomorphism $\Delta^\# : H(\mathfrak{g}, H) \longrightarrow H_{dR}(M)$ is factorized by $\Delta_o^\#$, i.e. the diagram below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H(P) & \\ \Delta_o^\# \nearrow & & \searrow \omega^\# \\ H(\mathfrak{g}, H) & \xrightarrow{\Delta^\#} & H(M) \end{array}$$

where $\omega^\#$ on the level of right-invariant forms Ω^r is given as the pullback of forms,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^* : \Omega^r(P) &\longrightarrow \Omega(M), \\ \omega^*(\phi)(x; u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_k) &= \phi(z; \tilde{u}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{u}_k)\end{aligned}$$

where $z \in P|_x$, \tilde{u}_i is the horizontal lift of u_i . [Recall that $H_{dR}^r(P) := H(\Omega^r(P)) \simeq H_{dR}^r(P)$.] In the general case (noncompact or nonconnected Lie group G) there exists a homomorphism $\Delta_o^\# : H(\mathfrak{g}, H) \longrightarrow H_{dR}^r(P)$ of algebras which factorizes the characteristic homomorphism for every flat connection. The homomorphism $\Delta_o^\#$ on the level of forms is given by the following direct formula:

$$(\Delta_o\psi)(z; w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_k) = \langle \psi, [-\omega(z; w_1)] \wedge \dots \wedge [-\omega(z; w_k)] \rangle,$$

where ω is the form of a connection on P extending an arbitrary connection on P' .

It seems to be interesting the following question:

— Is the homomorphism $\Delta_o^\# : H(\mathfrak{g}, H) \longrightarrow H(P)$ a monomorphism?

2.4 Application to finitely dimensional Lie algebras

A pair $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g})$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, of finite dimensional Lie algebras, we have a characteristic homomorphism

$$\Delta_o : H(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = \left(\bigwedge_{I_o} (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^* \right) \rightarrow H(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$(\Delta_o\psi)(w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_k) = (-1)^k \langle \psi, [w_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [w_k] \rangle$$

($H(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = H(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ for arbitrary connected Lie group having \mathfrak{h} as its Lie algebra). The homomorphism Δ_o can be nontrivial. For example for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(n) (= \mathfrak{Sk}(n, \mathbb{R}))$ the trace formula $\text{tr} : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant and gives nontrivial element in the cohomology.

If Δ_o is not trivial than the identical homomorphism $\text{id} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is not homotopic to any homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{g} .

Let \mathfrak{h}_1 be the next Lie algebra and $\phi : \mathfrak{h}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be any homomorphism of Lie algebras. If $\Delta_{(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \phi)} = \phi^\# \circ \Delta_o$ is not trivial then ϕ can not be homotopic to any homomorphism of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$.

2.5 Crainic characteristic classes

Take a vector bundle \mathfrak{f} and its Lie algebroid $A(\mathfrak{f})$ as well as a Riemannian metric h in \mathfrak{f} . The metric h yields the Lie subalgebroid $B = A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h\})$. We recall that $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Sec}(A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h\})) \iff \mathcal{L} \in \text{Sec}(A(\mathfrak{f}))$ and for each sections $\xi, \eta \in \text{Sec}(\mathfrak{f})$ the formula holds

$$h(\mathcal{L}(\xi), \eta) = h(\xi, \eta) - h(\xi, \mathcal{L}(\eta)).$$

Two Lie subalgebroids $B_i = A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h_i\})$, $i = 1, 2$, corresponding to Riemannian metrics h_i are homotopic Lie subalgebroids. The Atiyah sequences for $A(\mathfrak{f})$ and $A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h\})$ are

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{f}) \longrightarrow A(\mathfrak{f}) \longrightarrow TM \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Sk}(\mathfrak{f}) \longrightarrow A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h\}) \longrightarrow TM \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

If the vector bundle \mathfrak{f} is nonorientable (nonoriented), then the characteristic homomorphism $\Delta_{\#} : H(\text{End} \mathfrak{f}, A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h\})) \rightarrow H(L)$ corresponding to $(A(\mathfrak{f}), A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h\}), \nabla)$ produces the Crainic characteristic classes. Indeed, using the isomorphism κ from Theorem ?? and the classical relation (Kamber-Tondeur, Godbillon) we have

$$H(\text{End} \mathfrak{f}, A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h\})) \cong H(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}), O(n)) \cong \bigwedge (y_1, y_3, \dots, y_{2n'-1})$$

where n' is the largest odd integer $\leq n$, and we have by definition $y_{2k-1} \in H^{4k-3}(\text{End} \mathfrak{f}, A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h\}))$ are represented by the multilinear trace form $\tilde{y}_k \in \Gamma(\bigwedge (\text{End}(\mathfrak{f})/\text{Sk}(\mathfrak{f}))^*)$

$$\tilde{y}_{2k-1}([A_1], \dots, [A_{4k-3}]) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{4k-3}} \text{sgn} \sigma \text{tr} \left(\tilde{A}_{\sigma(1)} \circ \dots \circ \tilde{A}_{\sigma(4k-3)} \right) \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{A}_i = \frac{1}{2}(A_i + A_i^*)$ is the symmetrization of A_i with respect to the inner scalar product induced by the metric h .

In the case of oriented vector bundle with a metric volume v , the metric h and v induce an $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ -reduction $L(\mathfrak{f}, \{h, v\})$ of the frames bundle $L\mathfrak{f}$ of \mathfrak{f} . The Atiyah sequences for $A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h, v\})$ is

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sk}(\mathfrak{f}) \longrightarrow A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h, v\}) \longrightarrow TM \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the characteristic homomorphism $\Delta_{\#} : H(\text{End} \mathfrak{f}, A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h, v\})) \rightarrow H(L)$ corresponding to $(A(\mathfrak{f}), A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h, v\}), \nabla)$. Therefore

- if $n = 2m - 1$ is odd, then

$$H(\text{End} \mathfrak{f}, A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h, v\})) \cong H(\mathfrak{gl}(2m-1, \mathbb{R}), SO(2m-1)) \cong H(\mathfrak{gl}(2m-1, \mathbb{R}), O(2m-1)),$$

- if $n = 2m$ is even, then

$$H(\text{End} \mathfrak{f}, A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h, v\})) \cong H(\mathfrak{gl}(2m, \mathbb{R}), SO(2m)) \cong \bigwedge (y_1, y_3, \dots, y_{2n'-1}, [\overline{\text{Sf}}]_{2m})$$

where where n' is the largest odd integer $< 2m$, $y_{2k-1} \in H^{4k-3}(\text{End} \mathfrak{f}, A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h, v\}))$ are represented by the multilinear trace form $\tilde{y}_k \in \Gamma(\bigwedge (\text{End}(\mathfrak{f})/\text{Sk}(\mathfrak{f}))^*)$ defined by (7), and $[\overline{\text{Sf}}]_{2m} \in H^{2m}(\text{End} \mathfrak{f}, A(\mathfrak{f}, \{h, v\}))$ is represented by skew Pfaffian $\overline{\text{Sf}}_{2m} \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^{2m} (\text{End}(\mathfrak{f})/\text{Sk}(\mathfrak{f}))^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Sf}}_{2m}([f_1], \dots, [f_{2m}]) &= d(\overline{\text{Sf}}) \left(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_{2m} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{2m} \\ \sigma(1) < \sigma(2) \\ \sigma(3) < \dots < \sigma(2m)}} \text{sgn} \sigma \text{Sf} \left(\left[\tilde{f}_{\sigma(1)}, \tilde{f}_{\sigma(2)} \right], \tilde{f}_{\sigma(3)}, \dots, \tilde{f}_{\sigma(2m)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_{2m} \in \text{End}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{f}))$, d is the differential on the algebra $\wedge(\text{End}(\mathfrak{f}))^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi)(f_1, \dots, f_n) &= \sum_{p < q} (-1)^{p+q} \phi([f_p, f_q], f_1, \dots, \hat{p} \dots \hat{q} \dots, f_n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n \\ \sigma(1) < \sigma(2) \\ \sigma(3) < \dots < \sigma(n)}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \phi([f_{\sigma(1)}, f_{\sigma(2)}], f_{\sigma(3)}, \dots, f_{\sigma(n)}), \end{aligned}$$

and $\overline{\text{Sf}} \in \Gamma(\wedge^{2m-1}(\text{End}(\mathfrak{f})/Sk(\mathfrak{f}))^*)$ is described by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Sf}}(f_1, \dots, f_{2m-1}) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2m-1}} \text{sgn} \sigma \overline{\text{Pf}}(f_{\sigma(1)}, [f_{\sigma(2)}, f_{\sigma(3)}], \dots, [f_{\sigma(2m-2)}, f_{\sigma(2m-1)}]) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2m-1}} \text{sgn} \sigma (e, \alpha(f_{\sigma(1)}) \wedge \alpha[f_{\sigma(2)}, f_{\sigma(3)}] \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha[f_{\sigma(2m-2)}, f_{\sigma(2m-1)}]), \end{aligned}$$

$\overline{\text{Pf}} \in \text{Sym}^m(\text{End}(\mathfrak{f}); C^\infty(M))$, $\overline{\text{Pf}}(f_1, \dots, f_m) = (e, \alpha(f_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha(f_m))$,
 e is a non-zero cross-section of $\Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{f})$, $\alpha : \Gamma(\text{End} \mathfrak{f}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{f})$ is
given by $(\alpha(\varphi), X \wedge Y) = (\varphi X, Y)$, $\varphi \in \Gamma(\text{End} \mathfrak{f})$, $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{f})$.

Theorem 28

$$\Delta_{\#}(\tilde{y}_{2k-1}) = (-1)^{\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}} \cdot \frac{(2k-1)!}{2^{2k-1} \cdot k! \cdot (k-1)!} [u_{2k-1}(\mathfrak{f}, \nabla)]$$

where $u_{2k-1}(\mathfrak{f}, \nabla)$ represent the Crainic characteristic classes.

Explicit formula use any metric h in \mathfrak{f} and the symmetric-values form $\theta = \nabla - \nabla^h$ where ∇ is any flat L -connection in \mathfrak{f} and ∇^h is the adjoint L -connection induced by the metric h .

∇^h is defined by

$$\#_L(a)(h(\xi, \eta)) = h(\nabla_a \xi, \eta) + h(\xi, \nabla_a^h \eta)$$

dla dowolnych $a \in \text{Sec}(A)$, $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{f})$.

$$u_{2k-1}(\mathfrak{f}, \nabla) = (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} cs_k(\nabla, \nabla^h),$$

k - odd (we add that only odd k gives nontrivial classes for real \mathfrak{f}) and

$$cs_k(\nabla, \nabla^h) = \int_0^1 ch_k(\nabla^{aff}) = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{k! \cdot (k-1)!}{(2k-1)!} \cdot \text{tr} \left(\underbrace{\theta \wedge \dots \wedge \theta}_{2k-1} \right) \in \Omega^{2k-1}(L)$$

for the affine combination $\nabla^{aff} = t \cdot \nabla + (1-t) \cdot \nabla^h$ and $ch_k(\nabla^{aff}) = \text{tr} \left(R^{\nabla^{aff}} \right)^k$.

We add that Crainic have lost the skew Pfaffian $[\overline{\text{Sf}}]_{2m}$ in oriented vector bundle of even rank.